PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (dayimonthiyear) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (dayimonthiyear) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/028817 30.09.2004 30.09.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/864, A61K48/00, C07K14/015 Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer

Authorized Office

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Mandl, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8434



_	Вох	No. II	Priority			
1.		☐ The following document has not been furnished:				
			copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international atteindicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.		a copy Search	ernational Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed was not available to the International ing Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless stablished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
4.	Add	litional o	bservations, if necessary:			

0000073500

		MPZOROCPETTIO 22 MAR 2006				
_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With reneces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	e of material:				
	\boxtimes	a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. forn	nat of material:				
	\boxtimes	in written format				
	\boxtimes	in computer readable form				
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:				
	\boxtimes	contained in the international application as filed.				
	\boxtimes	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha CC	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additio	onal comments:				

_	Box No.	IV Lack of unity of in	vention	1				
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:								
	٥	paid additional fees.						
		paid additional fees u	nder pr	otest.				
		not paid additional fee	es.					
2.	☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.							
3.	This Aut	hority considers that the r	equirer	nent of unit	ty of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is			
	□ comp	lied with						
		omplied with for the follow	ving rea	isons.				
	see	separate sheet						
4.	Consequ	ently, this report has bee	n estat	olished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:			
☐ all parts.								
		(completely),28-29(partially),30-45(completely)						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Stateme	nt						
	Novelty	(N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	7,28-45 1-6			
	Inventive	e step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	- 1-7,28-45			
	Industria	al applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7,28-44			
2.	Citations	s and explanations						
	see sep	arate sheet						

10/573600

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

IAP20 Reside Compression of 1867

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A-1 310 571 (TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF); 14 May 2003
- D2: GAO GUANGPING ET AL: "Adeno-associated viruses undergo substantial evolution in primates during natural infections" PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF USA, vol. 100, no. 10, pages 6081-6086; 13 May 2003
- D3: WO03/05252 (TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA); 26 June 2003

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

i. Claim 45 comprises a method of treatment and, therefore, relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of claim 45 (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

- The present application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention.
 88 separate inventions have been identified (for list see international search report).
 Each of them is an individual solution to the different problems defined below. There is no technical interrelation between these problems and the corresponding solutions.
- 2. The problem to be solved by a first group of inventions is the identification of unknown AAV sequences and their grouping into "clades" (inventions 1-7). The solution to this problem are clades A-F.
 - The common technical feature of these solutions is that the grouping is based on a mathematical approach using a Neighbor joining heuristic by a bootstrap value of at least 75% per 1000 isolates and a Poisson correction distance measurement of no more than 0.05.
 - This common concept is not novel because such groups, even though they were not referred to as "clades", have already been described in **D1** (see Figure 2 and

example 2) and also in D2...

Moreover, the grouping of AAV sequences lacks an inventive step because it is based on the following known facts and methods:

- AAV is subject to a rapid molecular evolution which results in modified reactivity and tropism of the virus and which resembles the evolution of RNA viruses (see D1 and D2, respectively),
- the rapid molecular evolution is revealed when NHP vp1 sequences of AAV sequences from various primate tissues of clones from the same and from different animals are compared (see D1 and D2, respectively) and
- the grouping is based on techniques and computer programs known to the skilled person as it is pointed out on page 9 (lines 1-8) of the present application.
- 3. The problem to be solved by a second group of inventions is the provision (isolation) of further non-naturally occurring AAVs (inventions 8-88). The solution to this problem is represented by the various specific AAVs listed in claims 13,17,21,25 and 29 and by AAVs having a capsid with a specific amino acid sequence selected from the AAVs listed in claims 46 and 47. Since at least one member of each clade was already known in the prior art (see description of the present application, page 9, lines 16-24) the various AAV members of each clade represent different solutions to the problem of finding further members of the clades represented by a known AAV. Thus, the 'membership' in a specific clade is not considered a common concept.
- 4. In the light of the prior art, the special technical features representing the contribution over the prior art of clades A-F are the specific composition of AAVs. The specific composition of members, however, is different for each clade, so that the technical relationship between them required by **Rule 13 PCT** is lacking, and the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled. The special technical feature of the various isolated AAVs claimed, is their specific amino acid sequence of the capsid, which is different for each AAV. Thus, the technical relationship between them required by **Rule 13 PCT** is lacking, and the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The subject-matter of <u>claims 1-6</u> is not new in the sense of **Article 33(2) PCT** because of the disclosures made by **D1** anticipate their novelty for the following reason:
 - D1 discloses a group of AAV, which may also be called a "clade", comprising at least 3 members wherein the members are phylogenetically related and share a capsid with an amino acid identity of at least 85% with the capsid of AAV8 represented by SEQ ID NO: 183 (D1: Figure 2, §126, §182-183, tables 8 and 9).
- 2. The subject-matter of <u>claim 7</u> does not involve an inventive step in the sense of **Article 33(3) PCT** for the following reason:
- I. The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 7 because it discloses an AAV "clade" comprising at least 3 members wherein the members are phylogenetically related and share a capsid with an amino acid identity of at least 85% with the capsid of AAV8 (see above).
- ii. The subject-matter of claim 7 differs from this known "clade" in that it is extended so that it comprises more members.
- iii. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the extension of a known "clade".
- iv. The solution proposed in claim 7 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step because the collection and sequencing of further AAVs and their grouping into clades is based on the following known facts and methods:
 - AAV is subject to a rapid molecular evolution which results in modified reactivity and tropism of the virus and which resembles the evolution of RNA viruses (see D1 and D2, respectively),
 - the rapid molecular evolution is revealed when NHP vp1 sequences of AAV sequences from various primate tissues of clones from the same and from different animals are compared (see D1 and D2, respectively), and
 - the grouping is based on techniques and computer programs known to the skilled person as it is pointed out on page 9 (lines 1-8) of the present application.

- v. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person skilled in the art to repeat the methods disclosed in D1 in order to extend the AAV "clade" constructed around AAV8. Moreover, D1 also includes an incentive to the skilled person because it points out that it is very useful to isolate novel AAVs since the "tropism of each new vector is favourable for in vivo applications".
- Moreover, the grouping of AAVs into clades lacks a technical aspect and, therefore, cannot be considered a technical contribution to the art. It is a mere presentation of information. A patent application, however, must provide a technical solution to a technical problem. Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is not patentable (Rule 67.1(v) PCT).
- 4. The subject-matter of <u>claims 28-45</u> does not involve an inventive step in the sense of **Article 33(3) PCT** for the following reason:
- The document D3 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 28-45 because it discloses several AAV serotypes including one termed AAV9 which shares 81% identity at the genomic level with hu.14/AAV9 of the present application (D3: SEQ ID NO: 1)
- ii. The subject-matter of claims 28-45, i.e. hu.14/AAV9 differs from the serotypes disclosed in D3 in its specific genomic sequence.
- iii. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the identification of an alternative AAV serotype.
- iv. The solution proposed in claims 28-45 of the present application, i.e. hu.14/AAV9, cannot be considered as involving an inventive step because the present application does not demonstrate an unexpected or surprising effect associated with hu.14/AAV9. In the absence of surprising or distinguishing properties, however, no inventive step can be acknowledged.

• • •

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 45 with regard to industrial applicability						
because:							
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 45 with regard to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See separate sheet for further details						